

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS TRAN,

Petitioner,

v.

PAUL THOMPSON,

Respondent.

No. 2:21-cv-01707 KJM DB P

ORDER

Petitioner, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On January 25, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within 20 days. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court

////

1 . . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be  
2 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 4 1. The motion for preliminary injunction (ECF No. 2) is denied without prejudice; and  
5 2. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial  
6 proceedings.

7 DATED: May 23, 2022.

8   
9 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28